

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,765	10/08/2003	Holger Winkelmann	8540G-000161	8289
27572 7590 12/14/2007 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			EXAMINER	
			ECHELMEYER, ALIX ELIZABETH	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	···
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	1 2 11 11	A lin-ma(n)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/681,765	WINKELMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2007.					
, -						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	o3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-11,13-23,29 and 30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-11,13-23,29 and 30</u> is/are rejected.					
• —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	<u></u>					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

10/681,765 Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 28, 2007 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1 and 29 have been amended. Claims 1, 2, 5-11, 13-23, 29 and 30 are pending and are rejected for the reasons given below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5-9, 11, 13-15, 17-23, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (US Pre-Grant Publication 2002/0098396) in view of Heung (US Patent 6,015,041), Ovshinsky et al. (US 2001/0033959) and Bruck et al. (US 2003/0129461)

Kobayashi et al. teach a fuel cell system including a hydrogen storage tank, a tank containing a hydrogen-occluding alloy, and a heat exchanging means to transfer

10/681,765 Art Unit: 1795

the heat generated in the hydrogen-occluding alloy containing tank to the fuel cell (Figure 1, [0018]-[0022]). The tank would inherently be made of a thermally-conductive material, since the purpose of the tank is to transfer heat.

The system also includes means for discharging hydrogen from the hydrogenoccluding alloy ([0025]).

As for claims 19-23, Kobayashi et al. teach that LaNi₅ as a hydrogen-occluding alloy ([0064], [0066]).

Regarding claims 17, 18 and 29, Figure 2 of the current application indicates that LaNi₅ has an equilibrium pressure for absorption of hydrogen at 25°C at less than 0.5 atm.

Regarding claim 2, Kobayashi et al. teach one tank having one channel for the occlusion of hydrogen, but fail to teach a plurality of flow channels. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of channels, since more channels would expose a greater surface area of metal to hydrogen, generating more heat for the warm-up of the fuel cell. It has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. MPEP 2144.04 (VI).

As for claim 6, Kobayashi et al. teach the use of water, which is electrically conductive, to transfer heat from the metal hydride tank to the fuel cell. The fluid distribution element of Kobayashi et al. is the water channel, with the heating element being the metal hydride tank.

10/681,765 Art Unit: 1795

Regarding claims 1, 29 and 30, Kobayashi et al. do not teach that the hydrogenoccluding alloy is in particle form or the filter.

Heung teaches an apparatus for storing and releasing hydrogen. The hydrogen is stored in a metal hydride that is in ground particle form. The apparatus comprises chambers divided by aluminum foam for containing the particles of metal hydride. A filter may be provided within the apparatus to allow hydrogen to flow but prevent particles from escaping (abstract).

The filter of Heung would inherently have pores smaller than the diameter of the metal hydride, since the pores would be required to allow hydrogen to flow but could not be larger than the diameter of the metal hydride since that would allow the particle to escape, rendering the filter ineffective.

It would be desirable to use fine particles of a hydrogen occluding metal as taught by Heung since the smaller particle size would expose a larger surface area of the material to hydrogen, generating more heat.

It would be advantageous to provide a filter to prevent the escape of metal hydride particles since, if the particles escaped, stored hydrogen would be lost to the system and the hydrogen flow path could become contaminated or blocked.

Regarding claims 11 and 13-15, the aluminum foam of Heung is used because it improves heat transfer and holds the solid hydrogen storage medium in separate cells (column 2 lines 10-11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use fine particles of a hydrogen occluding metal

10/681,765

Art Unit: 1795

since the smaller particle size would expose a larger surface area of the material to hydrogen, generating more heat. Further, it would have been obvious to provide a filter to prevent the escape of escape of metal hydride particles since, if the particles escaped, stored hydrogen would be lost to the system and the hydrogen flow path could become contaminated or blocked. Additionally, it would have been obvious to provide a body of aluminum foam since it improves heat transfer and holds the solid hydrogen storage medium in separate cells.

With further regard to claims 1 and 29, Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung fail to teach that the metal hydride storage tank, analogous to the heating element of the instant invention, is contained within the fuel cell stack, and that the heating element is in contact with at least one component of the fuel cell stack.

Ovshinsky et al. ('959) teach a metal hydride unit adjacent the anode of a fuel cell ([0070], Figure 2). It is noted that the heat from the hydrogen generation within the metal hydride storage unit heats the fuel cell ([0090]).

It would be desirable to put the metal hydride tank of Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung adjacent the fuel cell as taught by Ovshinsky et al. ('959) in order to use the heat generated to heat the fuel cell through direct contact, which would prevent heat loss that would occur when the heat was transported from the tank to the fuel cell as in Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to put the metal hydride tank of Kobayashi et al. in

10/681,765 Art Unit: 1795

view of Heung adjacent the fuel cell as taught by Ovshinsky et al. ('959) in order to use the heat generated to heat the fuel cell through direct contact, which would prevent heat loss that would occur when the heat was transported from the tank to the fuel cell as in Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung.

Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung and Ovshinsky et al. ('959) fail to teach that the tank is integrated within a fuel cell stack.

Bruck et al. teach a heating element incorporated into a fuel cell (abstract).

The heating element is used to make cold starting of the fuel cell faster ([0047]).

It would be desirable to incorporate the heating element of Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung into the fuel cell stack, such as taught by Bruck et al., since it would make cold starting of the fuel cell faster. The heating element of Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung and Ovshinsky et al. ('959) could be incorporated into the stack, since the only elements separating the heating element from the fuel cell stack are a valve and a conduit (Figure 5). If the conduits connecting the heating element and fuel cell stack were shortened to the point that the heating element was incorporated within the fuel cell stack, then cold start of the stack would be expedited.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the heating element of Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung and Ovshinsky et al. ('959) into the fuel cell stack, such as taught by Bruck et al., since it would make cold starting of the fuel cell faster.

10/681,765 Art Unit: 1795

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung, Ovshinsky et al. ('959) and Bruck et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ovshinsky et al. (US 2004/0161652).

The teachings of Kobayashi et al., Heung, Ovshinsky et al. ('959) and Bruck et al. as discussed above are incorporated herein.

Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung, Ovshinsky et al. ('959) and Bruck et al. fail to teach the metal hydride tank functioning as a heating element surrounding at least a portion of the fuel cell stack.

Ovshinsky et al. ('652) teach a fuel cell pack having a metal hydride tank (20) attached on the top of the fuel cell stack ([0024], Figure 2). It is further taught that this location is advantageous because the heat from the fuel cell stack aids in the desorption of hydrogen from the tank.

It would be desirable to place the metal hydride tank of Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung, Ovshinsky et al. ('959) and Bruck et al. on the top of the stack such as taught by Ovshinsky et al. ('652) since that location is advantageous because the heat from the fuel cell stack aids in the desorption of hydrogen from the tank.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the metal hydride tank of Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung, Ovshinsky et al. ('959) and Bruck et al. on the top of the stack such as taught by Ovshinsky et al. ('652) since that location is advantageous because the heat from the fuel cell stack aids in the desorption of hydrogen from the tank.

10/681,765 Art Unit: 1795

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung, Ovshinsky et al. ('959) and Bruck et al. as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Shreir et al. (Corrosion (3rd Edition)).

The teachings of Kobayashi et al., Heung, Ovshinsky et al. ('959) and Bruck et al. as discussed above are incorporated herein.

Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung, Ovshinsky et al. ('959) and Bruck et al. fail to teach or render obvious the use of AlMg₃ as the storage container of the hydrogen absorbing material.

Shreir et al. teach that AlMg₃ is an alloy having very good resistance to atmospheric attack and provides very good protective anodizing.

It would be desirable to use AlMg₃ in the tank of Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung, Ovshinsky et al. ('959) and Bruck et al. since it would fare well in the harsh conditions of the fuel cell system, as indicated by the properties taught by Shreir et al.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use AlMg₃ in the tank of Kobayashi et al. in view of Heung, Ovshinsky et al. ('959) and Bruck et al. since it would fare well in the harsh conditions of the fuel cell system, as indicated by the properties taught by Shreir et al.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, filed August 29, 2007 as part of the After Final Amendment, fully considered and are persuasive. Specifically, the prior art did not teach

10/681,765 Art Unit: 1795

the new limitations requiring that the heating element be incorporated in the fuel cell stack. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection is made, see above.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gross (US 2006/0051638). Gross teaches metal hydride hydrogen storage tanks located within a fuel cell stack.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer whose telephone number is 571-272-1101. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Susy N. Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/681,765 Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer Examiner Art Unit 1795

aee

SUSY TSANG-FOSTER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Sury Isang took